REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Foreign Priority

An English translation of Korean Patent Application KR20030078503 has been submitted with this Response.

The Examiner is requested to change the Priority Date of the Present Application to November 7, 2003, the filing date of Korean Patent Application KR20030078503, of which it seeks Priority.

Claims

35 USC § 112 ¶ 1 Rejections

The Office Action rejected Claims 2 - 13 under 35 USC § 112 ¶ 1 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Office Action states "the specification, while being enabling for treating ischemic brain disease/stroke, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment and prevention of any and all brain diseases caused by degeneration."

35 USC § 112 ¶ 2 Rejections

The Office Action rejected Claim 6 under 35 USC § 112 ¶ 2 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action stated "Claim 6 recites the phrase "sitologically acceptable additive" in lines 1-2. It is not clear what this term means. Is this a misspelling of 'cytologically' or does this term have another meaning?"

The term "sitologically" is spelled correctly. The root "sito" is from the Greek and means "food". Therefore, the phrase "sitologically acceptable additive" means "any substance the intended use which results or may reasonably be expected to result-directly or indirectly-in its becoming a component or otherwise affecting the characteristics of any food` for example,

thickening agent, maturing agent, bleaching agent, sequesterants, hurnectant, anticaking agent, clarifying agents, curing agent, emulsifier, stabilizer, thickener, bases and acid, foaming agents, nutrients, coloring agent, flavoring agent, sweetener, preservative agent, antioxidant, etc."

The Examiner is requested to remove the 35 USC § 112 ¶ 2 Rejection of Claim 6. In light of the foregoing argument, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow Claim 6.

35 USC § 102(b) Rejection of Claims 2 - 3, 5 - 7, and 9 - 13.

The Office Action rejected Claims 2 - 3, 5 - 7, and 9 - 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sekimoto (JP 11302142). The Office Action stated "Sekimoto teaches a food composition which comprises a grape seed extract (see e.g. claim 2)."

Independent Claim 2 has been Canceled and New Independent Claim 15 has been written to claim the grape seed extract as a "product by process", and as such, it is the Product that is being claimed. MPEP 2113 states "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself."

The process described in Sekimoto '142 has major and significant differences from the process in Claim 15, and the end-product obtained by the Sekimoto '142 process is not the same product obtained by the process in Claim 15.

The product in Claim 15 is an extract. An extract is a complex combination of chemicals (varying ratios of individual chemicals combined with the presence or absence of individual chemicals) that is determined by the precise method of extraction. The term "extract" does not describe a precise combination of chemicals unless it is connected with a method describing the precise process to obtain that extract. Various treatments, such as, temperature and duration, solvent used at a particular stage in the process, or solvent fraction in which the extract is retained, will determine the final milieu of chemicals, minerals, proteins, and peptides; concentration of each item; ratio between each item, and activity of biological factors, which will be specific for that process.

In general, the process under discussion is used to isolate complex extracts from a biological source (plants). The isolation is accomplished by using a chemical's affinity for various solvents, and partitioning and isolating the desired chemical by a series of steps that causes a chemical to have a greater affinity for one of two solvents or between a substrate and a

solvent. Therefore, the order of the steps and solvent used at each step is very important to obtaining a desired complex extract.

For example; if step A is a non-polar solvent and step B is a polar solvent, then a process that subjects a substrate to Step A then Step B will produce a different product than a process that subjects a substrate to Step B then Step A. The first process $(A \to B)$ will obtain non-polar chemicals that have a greater affinity to a polar solvent, whereas the second process $(B \to A)$ will obtain polar chemicals that have a greater affinity to a non-polar solvent.

Sekimoto '142 discloses a food constituent to treat oral diseases without making any detailed disclosure concerning the composition. A careful reading of the English translation of Sekimoto '142 shows that it only discloses using a single solution step, which can be a single solvent or a combination of two or more [paragraph 29]. Purification of this extract is disclosed as using a "countercurrent distribution method" or "liquid chromatography" [paragraph 31]. Additionally, Sekimoto '142 discloses in paragraph 33 that the methods in JP06-31208B, JP63-162685A, JP03-200781A, and JP02-48593A can be used for extraction. A review of the English abstracts of JP63-162685A, JP03-200781A, and JP02-48593A (an English abstract of JP06-31208B was unavailable) show none of these methods disclose the process claimed in Claim 2.

JP63-162685A [Appendix I] discloses "extraction of various vegetables with an aqueous medium is adsorbed to a PS resin" and "the adsorbed component is eluted with a polar solvent"

P03-200781A [Appendix II] discloses "grape pomaces or seeds are brought into contact with water, preferably at $<70^{\circ}$ C and then extracted with water at $\geq 70^{\circ}$ C."

JP02-48593A [Appendix III] discloses "plant extract obtd. by a well known method is treated by water deposition, it is treated for ultrafiltration with a membrane with a cutoff of 1,000-100,000 to remove high mol.wt. substance" and then "a "membrane with a cutoff of smaller than 3,000" is used to obtain the desired fraction.

Sekimoto '142, nor any of the cited "well-known approaches", disclose a process of extraction comprising an initial alkaline solvent extraction, collecting the supernatant and acidifying the solution to an acidic solution, collecting the precipitate and suspending in an alcohol solvent, mixing and collecting the supernatant, adding a non-polar solvent, mixing and collecting the supernatant, and lyophilizing the non-polar solvent to obtain a dried grape seed extract.

Therefore, Sekimoto '142 does not disclose each and every step in Claim 15. The final product extract disclosed in the present application cannot be the same as the composition disclosed in Sekimoto '142 due to the multiple differences in the order of the individual steps and the specific parameters at each step. These differences show that Sekimoto '142 neither anticipates nor makes obvious the composition in Claim 15.

Claims 5, 9 and 10 have been amended to be Product by Process claims. Claims 3, 7, 8 and 11-13 are Canceled. Claim 16 – 22 are New and dependent from Claim 15.

The Examiner is requested to remove Sekimoto '142 as a 102(b) Prior Art reference. In light of the foregoing arguments and amendments to the claims, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow Claims 5, 9, 10, and 15 - 22.

35 USC § 102(a) Rejection of Claims 1 - 8 and 11 - 13

The Office Action rejected Claims 1 - 8 and 11 - 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (KR20040052398). The Office Action stated "Kim et al. teaches a method of preparing a grape seed extract."

An English translation of priority document Korean Patent Application KR20030078503 has been submitted with this Response. Therefore, the Priority Date of the Present Application is November 7, 2003, the filing date of Korean Patent Application KR20030078503, of which it seeks Priority.

Kim et al. (KR20040052398) has a publication date of June 26, 2004, which is after the priority date of the Present Application, and therefore is not Prior Art.

The Examiner is requested to remove Kim et al. (KR20040052398) as a 102(a) Prior Art reference. In light of the foregoing arguments to the claims, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow Claims 4 - 6 and 14 - 28.

35 USC § 103(a) Rejection of Claims 2, 4, and 7 - 8

The Office Action rejected Claims 2, 4, and 7 - 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sekimoto (JP 11302142).

Independent Claim 2 has been Canceled and New Independent Claim 15 has been written as a Product by Process claim and Claim 4 depends from Claim 15. Claims 7 and 8 have been Canceled.

The Examiner is requested to remove Sekimoto '142 as a 103(a) Prior Art reference. In light of the foregoing arguments and amendments to the claims, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow Claim 15.

No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the claims, the Applicants are not conceding in this application that previously pending claims are not patentable. Rather, any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this application. The Applicants reserve the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that the Applicants have made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

Conclusion

Claims 1-28 are pending. Claim 4-6, 9 and 10 are Currently amended. Claims 1-3, 7-8, and 11-13 are Canceled. Claims 14-28 are New. No New Matter was entered with

these amendments. Applicants respectfully request the entrance of the amendments.

Applicants have endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. Accordingly, arguments in support of the patentability of the pending claim set are presented above. In light of the above remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically requested and it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining

concerns which might prevent the prompt allowance of the application, the Examiner is

respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number appearing below.

No fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees now and in the future which may be due, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to credit card information.

Date: September 13, 2008 /KOH/

Kirk Hahn Agent of Record Registration No. 51,763 Customer Number 038051 714-544-2934

APPENDIX I

PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN

(11)Publication number: 63-162685(43)Date of publication of application: 06.07.1988

(51)Int.Cl. C07D311/62

(21)Application number: **61-308098** (71)Applicant: **KIKKOMAN CORP**

(22) Date of filing: **26.12.1986** (72) Inventor: **ARIGA TOSHIAKI**

HAMANO MITSUTOSHI FUKUSHIMA DANJI

(54) **PRODUCTION OF PROANTHOCYANIDIN**

HO OR S

(57) Abstract:

PURPOSE: To easily obtain the titled substance useful as antioxidant, raw material for pharmaceuticals, etc., from a proanthocyanidincontaining liquid in high yield, by using a PS resin as an adsorbent resin and eluting the adsorbed component with a polar solvent at a specific temperature.

CONSTITUTION: A liquid containing proanthocyanidin [e.g. a 2W10-mer containing the nit of formula (R1 is H or OH; R2WR4 are H, OH, methoxy, etc.; R5 is H, galloyl or glycopyranosyl) as a constituent unit] which is obtained generally by the extraction of various vegetables with an aqueous medium is adsorbed to a PS resin. The resin is washed with a polar solvent at \leq 50°C (usually at 0W50°C) and then the adsorbed component is eluted with a polar solvent at \geq 60°C (preferably at 80W150°C) to obtain the objective proanthocyanidin. The polar

solvent used in the above processes is preferably water or a mixture of water and 20% ethanol, methanol, propanol, etc.

APPENDIX II

PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN

(11)Publication number: 03-200781(43)Date of publication of application: 02.09.1991

(51)Int.Cl. C07D311/40

C07D311/62

(21)Application number: **01-338387** (71)Applicant: **KIKKOMAN CORP**

MANZUWAIN KK

(22) Date of filing: **28.12.1989** (72) Inventor: **ARIGA TOSHIAKI**

HAMANO MITSUTOSHI

MOTAI HIROSHI YATSUSU SUMUTO YAMADA MUNEKI MIYAJI MICHIO

(54) PRODUCTION OF PROANTHOCYANIDIN

(57) Abstract:

PURPOSE: To readily obtain the subject compound useful as a raw material, etc., for producing antioxidants or deodorants used in foods or cosmetics, medicines, etc., in high purity and good yield using simple operation by extracting grape pomaces, etc., with water at a specific temperature or above.

CONSTITUTION: Grape pomaces or seeds are brought into contact with water, preferably at $<70^{\circ}$ C, pretreated and then extracted with water at $\geq 70^{\circ}$ C to afford the objective compound.

APPENDIX III

PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN

(11)Publication number: 02-048593(43)Date of publication of application: 19.02.1990

(51)Int.Cl. C07G 17/00

A61K 35/78

A61K 35/78

A61K 35/78

(21) Application number: **01-165135** (71) Applicant: **TECNOFARMACI SPA**

INDENA SPA

(22) Date of filing: **27.06.1989** (72) Inventor: **FRANGI ENRICO**

BERTANI MARCO MUSTICH GIUSEPPE TUCCINI GIANFRANCO

(30)Priority

Priority number: 88 21134 Priority date: 28.06.1988 Priority country: IT

(54) PROCYANIDOL OLIGOMER FRACTION, ITS PREPARATION AND MEDICINE COMPOSITION CONTAINING THIS

(57) Abstract:

PURPOSE: To obtain with a good yield the new subject fraction which exhibits extremely excellent action as a remedy for diseases of cardiovascular system and hardly contains a monomer by performing ultrafiltration of plant extract with two kinds of membranes each with a specified cutoff.

CONSTITUTION: At first, after plant extract obtd. by a well known method is treated by water deposition, it is treated for ultrafiltration with a membrane with a cutoff of 1,000-100,000 to remove high mol.wt. substance. Then, by performing ultrafiltration treatment of the ultrafiltration-treated product with a membrane with a cutoff of smaller than 3,000, to remove a monomer to obtain an aimed fraction contg. flavanol monomer of less than 5 wt.%. In addition, it is pref. that the membrane with a cutoff of 1,000-100,000 is under tubular or capillary condition and as a membrane with a cutoff of less than 3,000, a coil-shaped spiral membrane is pref. used.